



## In This Issue

### Insects, Mites, and Nematodes

- Black Cutworm Development May Coincide With Emerging Corn
- Accumulated Heat Units for Black Cutworm
- Black Light Trap Catch Report
- Black Cutworm Adult Pheromone Trap Report

### Weeds

- Reducing Spray Drift from Glyphosate and Growth Regulator Herbicide Drift Caution

### Bits & Pieces

- 2011 Purdue Weed Day

### Weather Update

- Temperature Accumulations

## Insects, Mites, And Nematodes


### Black Cutworm Development May Coincide With Emerging Corn - (*Christian Krupke and John Obermeyer*)

- Black cutworm moths continue to arrive, adding to the record catches this year.
- Larval development will surge with recent high temperatures, scouting of high-risk, emerged fields in southern and central counties is highly recommended.
- Seed insecticides and Bt-corn will provide suppression of this pest, NOT control heavy populations.
- Insecticides tank-mixed with burn-down herbicides have their limitations.

As described in an earlier article, the first-round of nasty storms that hit the southern states in mid-April brought record numbers of black cutworm moths our way (see "Black Cutworm Trap Comparisons, 2006–2011"). Delayed planting, coupled with significant egg laying in weedy fields combine to have potential for significant cutworm damage within the week.

We track the development of the black cutworm from the time of an intensive capture (mid-April) to predict first cutting/damage (refer to accompanying map). Based on the growth development model, it takes approximately 300 heat units

(above the 50°F base) from egg hatch to the stage when black cutworm larvae begin to cut plants. Leaf injury, though less noticeable, will likely be present before cutting, as this is done by smaller larvae. Using pheromone trapping of moths and tracking of heat unit accumulations for first cutting is not an exact science, but they do give us a good indication of what to expect and when to start looking. However, it is not possible to predict if individual fields will be infested.



Small black cutworm's leaf feeding

With many areas of Indiana just planted, or being planted now, moths may have found these weedy fields as an ideal egg-laying site. Tillage at, or just before, planting will provide little control of the eggs or newly hatched larvae – it will mostly serve to move them around a bit. Since black cutworm has been a minor pest the past several years, producers may have a false sense of security with the seed-applied insecticides and/or Bt-corn. The lack of damage during these past years has been more due to the record early dates for corn planting combined with low black cutworm arrivals. This combination has been ideal for limiting black cutworm risk.




Early black cutworm cutting


We've heard that many fields are being treated with a foliar insecticide at the time of herbicide burn-down. We can understand the proactive approach, especially with the delayed planting. Understand that these insecticides have their limitations, specifically when subjected to sunlight, rainfall, heat, and dust. Claims of multiple weeks of control with foliar insecticides in spring conditions are simply unfounded; 7-10 days of control is the most optimistic measure. Remember that these are contact insecticides, and as soon as they hit the soil, breakdown begins. Some good news: as soil temperatures rise with the sudden surge in temperatures, corn should emerge and grow quickly. We can manage this pest effectively and have done so in the past: Timely scouting and rescue foliar insecticides *when necessary* are the tried and true approach with black cutworm. Happy scouting!



### Black Cutworm Trap Comparisons 2006 - 2011



### Accumulated Heat Units (base 50) For Black Cutworm Development Since April 15



Analysis by Indiana State Climate Office  
Web: <http://www.idclimate.org>



Dubois/SIPAC Ag Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jennings/SEPAC Ag Center	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Knox/SWPAC Ag Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	8
LaPorte/Pinney Ag Center	0	1	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Lawrence/Feldun Ag Center	0	3	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Randolph/Davis Ag Center	0	2	0	0	0	0	18	0	3	0	0	0	0	10
Tippecanoe/TPAC Ag Center	0	2	0	0	0	0	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
Whitley/NEPAC Ag Center	0	10	0	0	0	0	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	20

VC = Variegated Cutworm, BCW = Black Cutworm, ECB = European Corn Borer, SWCB = Southwestern Corn Borer, CEW = Corn Earworm, FAW = Fall Armyworm, AW = Armyworm



**Black Cutworm Adult Pheromone Trap Report**  
**Week 1 = 4/28/11 - 5/4/11    Week 2 = 5/5/11 - 5/11/11**

County	Cooperator	BCW Trapped		County	Cooperator	BCW Trapped	
		Wk 1	Wk 2			Wk 1	Wk 2
Adams	Kaminsky/ New Era Ag	2	23*	Jennings	Bauerle/SEPAC	0	2
Adams	Roe/Mercer Landmark	8	5	Knox	Bower/Ceres Solutions/Oaktown	2	
Allen	Anderson/Syngenta Seed	4	1	Knox	Bower/Ceres Solutions/Vincennes	23*	32*
Allen	Gynn/Southwind Farms	4	5	Knox	Bower/Ceres Solutions/Frichton	3	
Allen	Hoffman/ATA Solutions	14*	1	Knox	Hoke/SWPAC	2	5
Benton	Babcock/Ceres Solutions	12	16*	Lake	Kleine/Kleine Farms	50*	51*
Clay	Bower/Ceres Solutions - Brazil	0	1	Newton	Ritter/Purdue CES	8	17
Clay	Bower/Ceres Solutions - Clay City	0	0	Porter	Leuck/PPAC	5	6
Clinton	Foster/Purdue Entomology	20*	22*	Putnam	Nicholson/Nicholson Consulting	1	10
Dubois	Eck/Debois Co. Purdue CES	1	1	Randolph	Boyer/DPAC	2	3
Elkhart	Willard/Crop Tech Inc.	46*	14	Rush	Schelle/Falmouth Farm Supply	1	5
Fayette	Schelle/Falmouth Farm Supply	9	13	Starke	Wickert/Wickert Agronomy Services		0
Fountain	Mroczkiewicz/Syngenta	9	20*	Sullivan	Bower/Ceres Solutions - Sullivan W	1	0
Fulton	Jenkins/N. Central Coop - Kewanna	1	3	Sullivan	Bower/Ceres Solutions - Sullivan E	4	1
Fulton	Jenkins/N. Central Coop - Rochester	30*	6	Tippecanoe	Bower/Ceres Solutions - West Point	7	0
Hamilton	Beamer/Beck's Hybrids - Atlanta	4	6	Tippecanoe	Nagel/Ceres Solutions	16*	34*
Hamilton	Beamer/Beck's Hybrids - Sheridan	1	10	Tippecanoe	Obermeyer/Purdue Entomology	11	1
Hendricks	Nicholson/Nicholson Consulting	8	36*	White	Reynolds/ConAgra Snack Foods	1	6
Henry	Schelle/Falmouth Farm Supply	5	0	Whitley	Walker/NEPAC	17*	8
Jasper	Overstreet/Purdue CES	3	3				
Jay	Shrack/RanDel AgriServices	1	4				

\*=Intensive Capture...this occurs when 9 or more moths are caught over a 2-night period



# Weeds

## Reducing Spray Drift from Glyphosate and Growth Regulator Herbicide Drift Caution – (Tom Jordan, Glenn Nice, Bill Johnson and Tom Bauman)

If Indiana was the land of perfect, you would be able to pull into a field that had a dry surface, the temperature would be 75°F and the wind would be only 2 -3 MPH without a chance of a temperature inversion ever occurring. Weeds would never be over 2-3 inches tall and the corn would be in the V4 stage and soybeans plants would have 2-3 trifoliate. But, since we don't live in the land of perfect, we have to deal with conditions that are not always ideal for spraying herbicides.

One of the biggest concerns of herbicide applications in the spring of the year is off-target drift. Managing spray applications to minimize drift is something that should take top priority in the total herbicide management scheme. Drift reduces product efficacy, damages crops that are economically or aesthetically important, hurts wildlife, and contaminates water supplies. Herbicide drift can also deposit illegal residues on eatable crops, especially organic grown crops or processed crops that are checked for contaminants.

### There Are Two Types Of Drift:

1. Vapor drift - which is related to the product formulation (ester vs. amine), temperature, relative humidity and is not a function of the application method or equipment, and
2. Particle drift – which is a function of the application method and equipment. The key factors associated with particle drift are:
  - a. Droplet size
  - b. The equipment and operation technique
  - c. Wind speed and direction and climatic conditions

The simplified difference between vapor drift and particle drift is that with vapor drift, the application reaches its target and then moves off target some time after application. In the case of particle drift, the portion that moves off-target does not reach its target.

### Particle Drift

Particle drift occurs with all pesticide applications, regardless of the product or formulation, and is directly associated with droplet size in combination with boom height and wind speeds. Injury symptoms from drift will depend on the product used, environmental conditions, and sensitivity of the plants in the path of air flow. Low concentrations of glyphosate may or may not show injury symptoms while low concentrations of 2,4-D or dicamba may show major symptoms on sensitive plants. Controlling droplet size by choosing the proper nozzles and operating the equipment at the proper pressures will minimize drift problems more than anything else within the operator's control.

For burndown and early season applications, selecting nozzles that produce medium to coarse size droplets (220 – 400 microns) will provide good herbicide coverage. Operating the sprayer at 30 to 40 psi will usually provide the maximum droplets in this range. Obviously the pressure range will also depend in the nozzle type. Some wide-angle nozzles with pre-orifice or air-assist designs will allow pressures to be greater than other nozzles designs, while extended range flat-fan nozzles can be operated at lower pressures.

In "A Summary of Ground Application Studies" by the Spray Drift Task Force, a consortium of 38 agricultural chemical companies, reported that the average loss of active ingredient was approximately 0.5% with a 10 mph cross wind[1]. However, it should be noted that in Indiana winds and gusts of wind can often surpass 10 mph.

The most common ways to reduce herbicide drift onto susceptible crops or sensitive areas are:

1. Use the lower end of the pressure recommended range for that particular nozzle to produce coarse droplets
2. Lower the boom height – but, ensure that the spray pattern is maintained
3. Instead of increasing pressure to provide higher outputs, increase the nozzle size to increase the spray volume/acre while keeping within the recommended pressure.
4. Spray when the wind speeds are less than 10 MPH. Some labels, such as Banvel® provide a specific wind speed (15 MPH).
5. Spray when the wind direction is away from sensitive areas
6. In case of volatile herbicides like growth regulators, do not spray when there is no wind; this may suggest that an inversion is present.
7. Use a drift control agent if possible

### Vapor Drift

Vapor drift is much harder to control than particle drift. Vapor drift is a function of the herbicide formulation and ambient temperature. In 1979, E. Behrens and W.E. Lueschen investigated dicamba drift using a closed system of bell jars; not quite field conditions, however, it provided some indication of how temperature can affect volatility of dicamba[2]. As temperature increased from 59°F to 86°F, visual symptoms on soybean increased from almost 0% to 40%. The same study looked at dicamba formulation and reporting that the dimethylamine and methylamine salts of dicamba produced the most injury in soybean. The sodium, lithium, and potassium salt did not produce any visual injury symptoms under the same conditions. The most common vapor drift of 2,4-D comes from ester formulations, but can also be seen from other herbicides like Command. Ester formulations of herbicides volatilize at temperatures of 70°F or greater, and if calm conditions exist creating an inversion layer, these her-

bicides can drift for more than one mile. When volatile herbicides are applied in the spring, soil surface temperatures can be 10 – 15°F hotter than the air temperature, especially in mid-afternoon, increasing the possibility of volatilization. The Indiana State Climate Center indicated that inversion layers occur an average of 20 times per month during the periods of April through July but those strong enough to cause long distance herbicide drift occur, on average, between 6 and 8 times during the period of mid-April and mid-May in Indiana, while occurring only 1 or 2 times in June–July. This long distance movement usually occurs at night as the air temperature cools and there is light air movement. When such days occur, being aware of a volatile herbicide's ability to vaporize can help the applicator manage a potential drift problem by either not spraying until conditions improve or by choosing a formulation of the product that is less subject to volatilization.



Foliar application

Volatile herbicides are not unique to long distance movement. Any herbicide that is part of a spray droplet of 100 microns or less, which can be produced when spray pressures are increased over normal recommended ranges for that particular nozzle, can become an aerosol particle that is suspended in the air and will likewise move long distances with high winds or by a temperature inversion layer. On a calm day with low relative humidity a droplet of 100 micron or less will evaporate in less than 6 seconds and the herbicide molecules will suspend in the air similar to smoke. For example, at 90°F and 36% RH, a 50 micron droplet will travel only about 3 inches from the nozzle and evaporate in less than 2 seconds. These suspended molecules can then move horizontally for very long distances before being deposited on off-target areas. Once the dry molecules are rehydrated by wet leaves, they can then be absorbed by leaf tissue. If the herbicide residue is from an herbicide that has enough activity, it can cause injure symptoms to sensitive crops. These are usually herbicides like growth regulators (ester or amine), bleachers like Command, or contact herbicides like paraquat. Other herbicide chemistries may or may not show symptoms.

#### References:

- 1) Spray Drift Task Force. 1997. A Summary of Ground Applications Studies. Agricultural Research Services, Inc., P.O. Box 509, Macon, Missouri 63552.
- 2) Behrens, R. and W.E. Lueschen. 1979. Dicamba volatility. Weed Science 27:486-493.

## Bits & Pieces

### 2011 Purdue Weed Day – (Bill Johnson)

The 2011 Purdue Weed Day is scheduled for Thursday June 30, 2011. The program will begin at 8:30 AM Eastern Daylight Time at the Throckmorton Purdue Agricultural Center, 8343 US 231 South, Lafayette, IN 47909-9049. The farm is located approximately 5 miles south of Lafayette on the corner of county road 800S and U.S. 231 South. Come a little early and have coffee and a doughnut with us. Water and soft drinks will be available during the tour. For those attending the 2011 Purdue Weed Day, we have applied for 3 CCH's for category 1.

Weed pressure is quite good and early postemergence treatments will soon be applied. The herbicide plots will give you a chance to look at new herbicides and how they compare to the products currently on the market. We will also have trials to address the competitive effects of volunteer


corn on soybean and corn yields and how to control it. In addition, our weed science graduate students will be available to discuss their research projects.

You are also welcome to join us in the afternoon as the Department of Botany and Plant Pathology will be honoring Tom Bauman's 44 years of service to Purdue and the Weed Science discipline. The celebration will be in Lilly Hall, room 1-425 from 1 to 3 pm in the afternoon.

An attendance form is located on the Purdue Weed Science Website at <http://www.btny.purdue.edu/WeedScience/Temp/WeedDay2011.html>. You may also call Amy Deitrich at 765-494-9871. Please register if you plan to attend. This will allow us to maintain a mailing list and to estimate coffee, doughnut and soft drink needs for the Weed Day.


# Weather Update

## Total Precipitation May 5-11 2011 CoCoRaHS network (408 stations)



Analysis by Indiana State Climate Office  
Web: <http://www.iclimat.org>

## Average Temperature (°F): Departure from Mean May 3, 2011 to May 9, 2011



Indiana State Climate Office [www.iclimat.org](http://www.iclimat.org)  
Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana  
email: [iclimat@purdue.edu](mailto:iclimat@purdue.edu)